NOVOGRADAC Journal of Tax Credits

Insights On Affordable Housing, Community Development, Historic Preservation, Renewable Energy and Opportunity Zones November 2019 * Volume X * Issue XI Published by Novogradac

Energy Standards and Historic Rehabilitations

KATHERINE FERGUSON, MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS

Historic buildings have been called the greenest buildings.

Building construction requires an enormous amount of energy (as does building demolition); therefore this moniker is in large part due to the embodied energy that exists in historic building stock. In addition, there are negative environmental impacts when building material is hauled and accumulated in landfills.

Historic building rehabilitation is the epitome of recycling or even upcycling. While historic resources have many merits, in an era of social responsibility and demands for sustainable practices, they have also been put to the test by new standards and policies aimed at addressing such issues.

Elective Energy Standards

Programs and standards that address the energy efficiency of the built environment have gained popularity among developers, architects and urban planners over the past few decades. One of the bestknown programs is the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) designation, created in 1993 by the Natural Resources Defense Council and administered by the U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC).

Historic rehabilitation developments have successfully gained these prestigious certifications even though early standards of the LEED program heavily favored new construction techniques and heavy-handed retrofitting guidelines that were not compatible with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation that govern historic tax credit (HTC) projects. Thankfully, the USGBC added credits to its scoring for Existing Building Reuse or Historic Resource Preservation and Adaptive Reuse in 2009. These credits are applicable to the LEED for Neighborhood Development (LEED-ND) program. These along with the use of energyefficient solutions that are not detrimental to the historic character or material of historic buildings have made it possible for many HTC properties to qualify.

Another program gaining popularity is Passive House. The Passivhaus Institute (PHI) is a German organization responsible for overseeing the



continued from page 1

development and certification of projects worldwide. Separately, there exists a Passive House Institute US (PHIUS) which has created a slightly different set of criteria than PHI, but which follows the same general principles. Both organizations require strict adherence to technical design criteria and each standard requires extensive energy modeling. The program focuses on five building-science principles that include continuous insulation that eliminates any thermal bridging, airtight building envelopes, high-performance windows and doors, balanced heat- and moisturerecovery ventilation, and minimal space conditioning

systems.

In order to encourage building reuse, PHI developed the "EnerPHit Standard." (PHIUS does not have such a standard yet.) The primary technical resource for projects pursuing the EnerPHit Standard is found on the PHI website in a manual called "Criteria for the Passive House, EnerPHit and PHI Low Energy Building Standard."

Passive House has an extremely high threshold, making it difficult for a rehabilitation project to meet its standards. However, in some states such as Connecticut, housing agencies are adding it as a component of their qualified allocation process scoring system. This means that if you are twinning historic and low-income housing tax credits, you will need to integrate passive house into the development. Passive House projects must engage a certified Passive House consultant to guide the project design with technical energy and thermal bridge modeling.

Meeting the EnerPHit Standard is still a big challenge when combining that with HTCs, but not impossible. More modern buildings built in the 1960s and early

www.novoco.com

 \blacklozenge

continued from page 2

1970s may be better candidates for the Passive House as they tend to already have gypsum wallboard on their interior that may be able to support the additional insulation. They also tend to have windows that may be easier to replicate to meet Passive House then traditional wood or industrial sash from earlier in the 20th century.

Government-Imposed Energy Regulations

State and local governments are beginning to impose more rigorous requirements for energy efficiency for newly permitted developments. One of the most progressive examples of this type of policy is in the District of Columbia, where a zoning tool called the Green Area Ratio has been in place since 2013 and is administered by the DC Department of Energy and Environment. All new construction, as well as additions and existing buildings that exceed 100 percent of the assed building value within a 12-month period, must take steps to reduce the use of impervious surfaces that raise ambient temperatures and to encourage the use of vegetation and other green infrastructure alternatives. In theory, these objectives align well with historic rehabilitation practices.

There are exceptions to these regulations for buildings certified as historic by the DC Inventory of Historic Sites of the state historic preservation office (SHPO). However, these developments must comply if the "change of use" or "increase of intensity of use" results in an increase to the gross floor area by 50 percent or more. While most of the requirements of the program pertain to landscaping solutions, for projects that are pursuing HTCs, these plans must be submitted to the National Park Service (NPS) for review and would be considered in the overall appropriateness of the rehabilitation.

This type of legislation is just the beginning and will surely be commonplace in many, if not all, states in the future. Twenty-two states have mandatory energy efficiency standards and four have voluntary programs that address efficient generation, transmission and use of electricity. As new technology and climate science evolves, different standards will certainly be imposed. California, for example, renews its Building Energy Efficiency Standards every three years. Those standards govern the types of energy efficient systems that must be used in residential and nonresidential properties. The 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards goes into effect Jan. 1, 2020, and represents the first program of its kind in the country to require solar for residential projects.

Sustainability Guidelines for Historic Rehabilitation

If a historic building rehabilitation development must abide by energy guidelines—required or desired and HTCs are part of the plan, the design solutions must meet the previously mentioned *Standards for Rehabilitation*. To that end, Technical Preservation Services (the branch of NPS that reviews and approves HTC applications) issued *Illustrated Guidelines on Sustainability for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings* in 2013 that addresses some of the issues that may arise with incorporating these sustainability practices. Indepth guidance is provided for planning, maintenance, windows, weatherization, insulation, HVAC, solar technology, wind power, roofs, site features and daylighting.

In all cases, the general guidance is to start with the inherently sustainable features of historic buildings. Then, carefully consider all of the ways in which treatments to achieve energy efficiency will affect the historic material or appearance of the building.

Many treatments that could score well with energy efficiency programs are compatible with historic rehabilitation practices. Historic buildings, for instance, were largely designed to provide ample daylight and ventilation using partial glass partitions, glazed doors and transoms that reduce the need for

continued from page 3

artificial lighting and superfluous energy consumption. Retaining or restoring appropriate gardens, shade trees and landscaping can also be a great way to earn points and allow for innovative energy saving techniques like rainwater collection. Eliminating or reducing impervious surfaces immediately surrounding buildings also helps to reduce heat island effects, building temperature and damage to the foundation from stormwater runoff.

Lastly, it should be noted that even though any site improvements that are made must be reviewed by SHPOs and NPS, the costs incurred for them does not factor into calculations for qualified rehabilitation expenses for the project. They can, however, present reasons for denial of HTCs if not properly executed in relation to the historic context of the building. A good understanding of the historic merits of the property is outlined in the statement of significance in the National Register nomination or in the Part 1 description of eligibility. Like all other energy efficiency improvements, proposed work should be considered against characteristics such as period of significance and architectural style to determine if the work is appropriate.

Sustainability is important in building development and will only become increasingly so. Historic preservation is important to authenticity and community development. These two development philosophies can and should complement each other. Policies and practices that encourage the two will bring about real results and environmental conditions. After all, the saying goes "the greenest building is the one that is already built." **\$**

This article first appeared in the November 2019 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits.

© Novogradac 2019 - All Rights Reserved

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided – any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.



EDITORIAL BOARD

PUBLISHER Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Thomas Boccia, CPA James R. Kroger, CPA Diana Letsinger, CPA

COPY

SENIOR EDITOR
Brad Stanhope

COPY EDITOR Mark O'Meara

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS John DeJovine Brad Elphick Katherine Ferguson Caroline Gallegos Nick Gerhardt Joyce Hsia

ART

CARTOGRAPHER David R. Grubman

CREATIVE DIRECTOR

SENIOR GRAPHIC DESIGNER James Matuszak

CONTACT

correspondence and editorial submissions Alex Ruiz alex.ruiz@novoco.com 415.356.8088 Matt Meeker, CPA John Sciarretti, CPA Stacey Stewart, CPA

MARKETING MANAGER

Teresa Garcia

STAFF WRITER Caroline Gallegos

Reza Karim Kerry Menchin Karen Murphy Cameron Rohani Thomas Stagg John M. Tess

ADVERTISING INQUIRIES Christianna Cohen christianna.cohen@novoco.com

925.949.4216

EDITORIAL MATERIAL IN THIS PUBLICATION IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED OTHERWISE.

ADVICE AND INTERPRETATION REGARDING THE LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL COVERED IN THIS PUBLICATION CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR TAX ADVISOR.

ADVISORY BOARD

Mary Tingerthal

UPPUNIUNIII ZUNES	
Glenn A. Graff	APPLEGATE & THORNE-THOMSEN
Steven F. Mount	SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST BOARD

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Bud Clarke Tom Dixon Rick Edson Richard Gerwitz Alisa Kennedy Rochelle Lento John Lisella Philip Melton Thomas Morton Rob Wasserman

BOSTON FINANCIAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT BOSTON CAPITAL NFP AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORP. CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL DENTONS DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP. BELLWETHER ENTERPRISE

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Michael Kotin Kerry Menchin Michael Snowdon Gianna Richards KAY KAY REALTY CONAM MANAGEMENT CORPORATION HIGHRIDGE COSTA HOUSING PARTNERS SOLARI ENTERPRISES INC.

RAINBOW HOUSING

NIXON PEABODY LLP

DENTONS

DAVIS-PENN MORTGAGE CO.

NATIONAL LEASED HOUSING ASSOCIATION

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP

NATIONAL TRUST COMM_INVESTMENT CORP.

MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC

HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC

BLOOMING VENTURES LLC

MILES & STOCKBRIDGE

NATIONAL TRUST COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORP.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Flynann Janisse Ray Landry Denise Muha Monica Sussman

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman Merrill Hoopengardner Scott Lindquist Tracey Gunn Lowell Ruth Sparrow Elaine DiPietro

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Jerry Breed John Leith-Tetrault Bill MacRostie John Tess

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Bill Bush Benjamin Cook Jim Howard Forrest Milder STEM INC. NEXTPOWER CAPITAL DUDLEY VENTURES NIXON PEABODY LLP Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits

GREDITS

5 🖈

© Novogradac 2019 All rights reserved. ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.